

## Methane and nitrous oxide emissions from UK agricultural livestock.

*Inventaire des émissions de méthane et de protoxyde d'azote  
issues des activités d'élevage en Grande-Bretagne.*

**D.R. Chadwick, B.F. Pain**

Institute of Grassland and Environmental  
Research (IGER),  
North Wyke, Okehampton,  
Devon. EX20 2SB, UK  
E-mail : david.chadwick@bbsrc.ac.uk

**R.W. Sneath, V.R. Phillips**

Silsoe Research Institute (SRI),  
Wrest Park, Silsoe, Bedfordshire.  
B45 4HS, UK.

### Abstract

*UK inventories for nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) and methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) were constructed for farmed livestock in order to quantify emissions and provide information on areas where abatement practices may be potentially useful. The inventories were constructed separately using Excel spreadsheets conveniently divided into the different categories of animal, viz. cattle, pigs, sheep, poultry and deer. Emissions from grazing land and land used for conserved grass or tillage crops were included for completeness. N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from each of the animal categories were further divided into a) emissions from buildings, b) manure stores and c) following application of manures to the land. Where possible we made our own measurements, i.e. under UK conditions but took literature values where there was little or no other data available. Our inventory estimates were 21.1 kt N<sub>2</sub>O per year and 845.0 kt CH<sub>4</sub> per year. Because of the approach we estimate the error associated with the total N<sub>2</sub>O emissions to be ± 50%. The emission factors we used are discussed in this paper, as are potential abatement practices.*

### Résumé

L'augmentation de la concentration atmosphérique globale du protoxyde d'azote (N<sub>2</sub>O) au cours de la dernière décennie est due à l'utilisation plus intensive des engrais chimiques et engrais de ferme, tandis que celle de méthane (CH<sub>4</sub>) (produit dans les processus de méthanogénèse) est le résultat de l'augmentation du nombre d'animaux ruminants et de la culture de riz. Ces pertes gazeuses se produisent à chaque étape dans le cycle de production, par exemple, dans les bâtiments pendant le stockage et suivant l'application du fumier aux champs. Cet article décrit les pertes relatives de N<sub>2</sub>O et CH<sub>4</sub> de chacun de ces compartiments.

Les émissions de N<sub>2</sub>O et CH<sub>4</sub> ont été mesurées dans les bâtiments de ventilation forcée et naturelle. Les pertes pendant le stockage du fumier ont été mesurées en

utilisant des méthodes de « tunnel » et des méthodes micro-météorologiques, alors que les émissions suivant l'épandage sur le sol ont été mesurées en utilisant une combinaison de méthodes de cloche ou boîte à couvert statique et active.

La production totale de CH<sub>4</sub> en 1995 était de l'ordre de 846 kt, dont 75% venait de la fermentation entérique des vaches. Pour la même période, le total des émissions de N<sub>2</sub>O était de 18,3 kt, dont le stockage (5,7 kt) et l'application des engrais chimiques aux champs (5,4 kt) sont les sources principales.

A cause de la grande influence de la fermentation entérique et des engrais chimiques sur les inventaires de CH<sub>4</sub> et de N<sub>2</sub>O, respectivement, il existe des incertitudes considérables dans ces estimations. Cependant, ces inventaires suggèrent qu'afin de diminuer les pertes de CH<sub>4</sub> une manipulation de l'alimentation des animaux peut être nécessaire, alors que les émissions de N<sub>2</sub>O peuvent être diminuées par une utilisation plus efficace des engrais chimiques.

## 1. Introduction

Methane is the second most important greenhouse gas after CO<sub>2</sub>, contributing approximately 20% to global warming (Bouwman, 1990), and is produced primarily by microbial degradation of organic material under anaerobic conditions, e.g. in the rumen during enteric fermentation. Manures contain biodegradable C, often in an anaerobic conditions, thus favouring methanogenesis and the emission of CH<sub>4</sub>. Methane emissions have been reported from manure stores (Husted, 1993) and following slurry applications to land (Chadwick *et al.*, 1997). Until recently, CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from livestock waste have been largely neglected, although CH<sub>4</sub> budgets given by Safely *et al.* (1992) revealed that such wastes may contribute to up to 10% of the total anthropogenic emission of CH<sub>4</sub>. In the UK the estimated annual production of solid manures and slurries is 85 million tonnes (Pain *et al.*, 1998), therefore the livestock sector represents a large potential source of N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub>.

Nitrous oxide is a potent greenhouse gas and is also implicated in depletion of stratospheric ozone (Cicerone, 1987). Agriculture is partially responsible for the rise in atmospheric concentration of N<sub>2</sub>O, 0.2-0.3% per annum, observed in recent years (Bouwman, 1990). N<sub>2</sub>O is the product of both nitrification (Bremner and Blackmer, 1978) and denitrification (Firestone and Davidson, 1989) and is produced at any stage of livestock production when conditions become favourable. e.g. manure applications to land return biodegradable carbon (C), a nitrifiable nitrogen (N) source (principally ammonium) and moisture to the soil, thus favouring nitrification and denitrification. Nitrous oxide emissions have been shown to increase following application of manures to agricultural soils (Paul *et al.*, 1993; Sommer *et al.* 1996 and Chadwick, 1997). There is less information on emissions of N<sub>2</sub>O from other stages of livestock production, *viz.* animal houses and manure stores.

This paper describes 2 UK emission inventories, for N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub> from farmed livestock, which have been constructed in a transparent form on spread-sheets

which can be easily updated as new information becomes available. They have been compiled using, as far as possible, direct experimental data gathered under UK conditions. Literature values for emission factors have also been used to corroborate our measurements and also provide missing emission factors where appropriate. We also identify potential abatement practices.

## **2. Materials and methods**

### **Inventory construction**

The inventories were constructed on computer spreadsheets (EXCEL 5.0) and conveniently partitioned into emissions from each livestock group in the UK, i.e. cattle, pigs, poultry, sheep and deer. For completeness, emissions from conserved grassland and tillage crops were included, as they provide feedstuffs for livestock enterprises. Each livestock group was further divided into emissions from animal houses, manure stores and following land spreading of manures. Animal numbers from the June 1996 census were used (HMSO, 1997), the only exceptions were poultry and farmed deer. The census data for 1996 were not available for these classes of animals therefore 1993 numbers (HMSO, 1994) were used for poultry and 1995 numbers for deer (HMSO, 1996).

### **Emission factors**

The construction of the inventories required the input of emission factors (EFs) for N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub>. For housing, the EF were expressed as g N<sub>2</sub>O or CH<sub>4</sub> lu<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>, where lu = livestock unit or 500 kg liveweight. Losses from manure stores were expressed as g N<sub>2</sub>O or CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-3</sup> slurry or t<sup>-1</sup> FYM. Emission factors for land spreading were in g N<sub>2</sub>O or CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-3</sup> slurry or t<sup>-1</sup> FYM.

### **Determination of emission factors from animal houses**

Long-term emissions (several weeks) of N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub> were quantified from a fattening piggery (300 pigs) and a dairy cow house (100 cows), using automated gas chromatography (GC) (Sneath *et al.*, 1997). Also, continuous measurements over a 24 hour period were made from a large number of different types of pig, poultry and cattle buildings, under both summer and winter conditions using infrared analyses (Sneath, 1996).

### **Determination of emissions from manure stores**

Since N<sub>2</sub>O production from slurries requires nitrate to be present and slurries are extremely anaerobic, we set N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from slurry stores and dirty water tanks at zero. However, for solid manure stores there is limited air access to the manure providing a mixture of anaerobic and aerobic zones (Kirchmann, 1985). Therefore conditions exist for both nitrification and denitrification within FYM heaps, increasing the opportunity for N<sub>2</sub>O production. Methane production and emission is possible

from both slurries and solid manures.

In this study we have used N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub> EFs we measured from beef FYM and one for N<sub>2</sub>O from pig FYM by Sibbsen and Lind (1993). No UK data were available for poultry manure, therefore we used the EFs calculated for a poultry building we monitored, assuming that the N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub> were generated by the excreta and not the birds themselves. Emission rates were multiplied by the volume of manure stores, which was estimated by Nicholson and Brewer (1997).

### **Determination of land spreading emission factors**

Nitrous oxide and CH<sub>4</sub> EFs were measured from grassland following applications of different manures at several times of the year. Typical application rates were used and emissions measured using both static and active cover boxes (Mosier, 1989). Emissions were monitored until rates of N<sub>2</sub>O emission had decreased to background levels (untreated controls), usually between 3 and 4 weeks. Average EFs were calculated for each manure type and multiplied by the volume of each manure type applied to agricultural soils each year. We assumed that EFs for grassland and arable land were the same.

### **Emission factors for conserved grassland and tillage land**

Nitrous oxide emissions from these areas of land were estimated by multiplying the mass of ammonium nitrate, urea and 'other' N fertilisers applied each year (Burnhill *et al.*, 1994 and DANI, 1994) by the average %N loss as N<sub>2</sub>O of N applied, as suggested in the IPCC guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories (IPCC 1997). However, we used the average fractional loss values as reviewed by Eichner (1990). These emission factors were 0.44%, 0.11% and 0.16%, for ammonium nitrate, urea and 'other' fertilisers, respectively. Methane emissions from this land were set at zero, although we recognise that some CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation may occur.

### **Emission factors for grazing**

A grazing term was included in the cattle, pig and sheep sections of the inventory. Non-milking dairy cattle were presumed to graze for 183 days, as were beef cattle, bulls and other cattle older than one year. Calves < 6 months old and 6-12 months old graze for 90 and 150 days, respectively. The grazing time for milking cows was reduced by 12.5% to 160 d to account for time spent in the buildings during milking and in moving between pasture and the milking parlour during the grazing season. Losses of N<sub>2</sub>O from grazing cattle were divided into losses resulting from fertiliser applied and losses from direct excretal returns to pasture. The former term was calculated from the area of land receiving N fertilisers as categorised in the Survey of Fertiliser Practice for Great Britain (Burnhill, 1994) and the %N loss as N<sub>2</sub>O of N applied as reviewed by Eichner (1990). This term is included in the losses of N<sub>2</sub>O from conserved grassland.

The annual N<sub>2</sub>O emission from excretal returns from grazing cattle, 3.1 kt, was taken from the long-term experimental data of Yalmulki *et al.* (1998) who monitored

emissions from dung and urine patches. Since no direct data were available for outdoor pigs and sheep, emissions of N<sub>2</sub>O from excretal returns were estimated by using the emission factor based on pig slurry and cattle FYM applied to grassland, respectively.

Losses of CH<sub>4</sub> were divided into losses from enteric fermentation within the gut of ruminants and CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from excretal returns. The EF for enteric fermentation was the same as that used for enteric fermentation within animal houses. However, CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from excretal returns were estimated from slurry and FYM applications to land, corrected for excretal rates.

### 3. Results

#### Housing

Table 1 illustrates the N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from housed animals. The largest N<sub>2</sub>O emissions result from cattle and poultry. As expected the largest methane emission is from cattle and is almost entirely the product of enteric fermentation. Our measured EF for enteric fermentation from dairy cattle, 270 g lu<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>, is somewhat higher than the 190 g lu<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> reported by Blaxter and Clapperton (1965) who measured CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from individual cattle in metabolic crates, but similar to the 260 g lu<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> reported by Kinsman *et al* (1995).

Animal category	Management system	CH <sub>4</sub> emission (kt)	% CH <sub>4</sub> loss from housing	N <sub>2</sub> O emission (kt)	% N <sub>2</sub> O loss from housing
Cattle	Dairy				
	Slurry based	148.2	40.0	0.46	9.2
	Straw based	34.9	9.4	0.11	2.2
	Beef	114.0	30.8	1.05	21.0
	Calves (dairy and beef)	46.0	12.4	0.17	3.4
Sheep	Straw based	4.6	1.2	<0.01	0.0
Pigs	Straw based	4.3	1.2	0.07	1.4
	Slurry based	17.2	4.6	0.07	1.4
Poultry	Broiler sawdust based	0.4	0.1	1.46	29.4
	Layer no bedding	0.9	0.2	0.50	10.0

	Pullets	0.1	<0.1	0.09	1.8
	Others	0.4	0.1	0.99	19.8
Deer	Straw based	0.1	<0.1	0.02	0.4
Total		371.1	100.0	4.99	100.0

*Table 1.*  
*CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from animal houses in the UK.*

### Storage

The storage losses of CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O are shown in Table 2. The greatest emissions of nitrous N<sub>2</sub>O were from the beef cattle manure and poultry manure heaps.

Animal category	Type of store	CH <sub>4</sub> emission (kt)	% CH <sub>4</sub> loss from stores	N <sub>2</sub> O emission (kt)	% N <sub>2</sub> O loss from stores
Cattle	Solid manure	32.6	77.9	3.58	63.4
	Slurry stores	1.9	4.5	0.0	0.0
Sheep	Solid manure	1.0	2.4	0.12	2.1
Pigs	Solid manure	1.9	4.5	0.05	0.9
	Slurry store	3.6	8.6	0.0	0.0
Poultry	Solid manure	0.9	2.1	1.90	33.6
Deer	Solid manure	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total		39.0	100.0	5.65	100.0

*Table 2.*  
*CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from manure stores in the UK.*

### Land spreading

Emissions of CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O following land spreading manures are summarised in Table 3. The N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub> losses following landspreading of manures are relatively small with the greatest losses coming from the spreading of cattle manures. The average % N lost as N<sub>2</sub>O following applications of pig and dairy slurries were 0.4% and 0.3%, respectively. These values are similar to those reported by Paul *et al.* (1993) and Sommer *et al.* (1996). Methane losses were very short-lived following manure application as oxygen diffused into the manures.

Animal category	Type of store	CH <sub>4</sub> emissions (kt)	% CH <sub>4</sub> loss from spreading	N <sub>2</sub> O emission (kt)	% CH <sub>4</sub> loss from spreading
Cattle	Solid manure	0.2	40.0	0.52	46.8
	Slurry	0.1	20.0	0.30	27.1
Sheep	Solid manure	<0.1	<0.1	0.03	2.7
Pigs	Solid manure	0.2	40.0	0.03	2.7

	Slurry	<0.1	<0.1	0.13	11.7
Poultry	Solid manure	<0.1	<0.1	0.10	9.0
Deer	Solid manure	<0.1	<0.1	<0.01	0.0
Total		0.5	100.0	1.11	100.0

*Table 3.*  
*N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub> emissions following manure spreading in the UK.*

### **Emissions from grazing and outdoor animals**

The greatest CH<sub>4</sub> emission was from the enteric fermentation of grazing ruminants, 306.0 kt from cattle and 125.1 kt from sheep. The greatest N<sub>2</sub>O emission was also from grazing cattle, 3.17 kt, but as a result of the nitrification and denitrification of N returned to the soil in dung and urine.

### **Conserved grassland and tillage land**

These areas of land were considered not to be emitters of methane. There is evidence of CH<sub>4</sub> uptake by grasslands but this has not been considered in this inventory. The annual N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from different fertiliser types totalled 2.05 kt for conserved and grazed grassland and 3.01 kt for tillage land.

### **Total emissions**

Emissions from components of farm management are shown in Table 4. The largest components in the total emission of N<sub>2</sub>O are manure stores, 5.65 kt and fertilised land, 5.36 kt. The largest components in the total emission of CH<sub>4</sub> are housing and outdoor livestock, i.e. enteric fermentation in ruminants.

Component	CH <sub>4</sub> emission (kt)	% CH <sub>4</sub> of total loss	N <sub>2</sub> O emission (kt)	% N <sub>2</sub> O of total loss
Housing	371.3	44.0	4.99	23.6
Storage	42.0	4.9	5.65	26.8
Land application	0.5	<0.1	1.11	5.3
Fertiliser	0.0	0.0	5.36	25.4
Outdoor livestock	431.6	51.1	3.98	18.9
TOTAL	845.5	100.0	21.09	100.0

*Table 4.*  
*CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions by farm management in the UK.*

When the inventories are broken down by livestock class (Table 5), the largest proportion of the total N<sub>2</sub>O emission is from cattle (44.4%) followed by poultry (23.9%) and the largest proportion of the total CH<sub>4</sub> emission is also from cattle (81%).

Component	CH <sub>4</sub> emission (kt)	% CH <sub>4</sub> of total loss	N <sub>2</sub> O emission (kt)	% N <sub>2</sub> O of total loss
Cattle	684.0	81.0	9.36	44.4

Sheep	130.7	15.5	0.75	3.6
Pigs	27.7	3.2	0.39	1.8
Poultry	2.7	0.3	5.04	23.9
Deer	0.3	<0.1	0.19	0.9
Conserved grassland	0.0	0.0	2.05	9.7
Tillage land	0.0	0.0	3.31	15.7
TOTAL	845.4	100.0	21.09	100.0

Table 5.  
*CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions by livestock class in the UK.*

## 4. Discussion

### N<sub>2</sub>O

The N<sub>2</sub>O inventory described above identifies three sub-terms not included in earlier inventories, namely emissions from outdoor livestock, from livestock buildings and from stored manures. Nevertheless, the total annual emission is in the range of those emissions of HMSO (1997b), 9.90 kt, and Croxford (1994 unpublished MAFF work), mean of 14.32 kt (range 1.99-155.13) but much lower than that by Armstrong Brown *et al.* (1996), 103 kt.

The largest term according to inventories of Croxford (unpublished MAFF figures for 1994) and of HMSO (1997b), is the N<sub>2</sub>O emission from soils receiving mineral N fertilisers, whereas the inventory of Armstrong-Brown *et al.* (1996) indicated that N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from land applications of manures is the largest term. This difference arises because of the different expressions used for predicting N<sub>2</sub>O losses from these sources, viz. that up to 7.8% of the ammoniacal-N (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N) content of manure is emitted as N<sub>2</sub>O-N (Jarvis and Pain, 1994) which may be a worst case scenario. From the 20 sets of field measurements made following land spreading of manures during our study the average values for the percentage of manure-NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N lost as N<sub>2</sub>O-N were 0.64 % for slurries (n=15) and 5.90 % for solid manures (n=5).

The inventory total is very sensitive to changes in the %N lost as N<sub>2</sub>O from soil fertilised with inorganic N fertilisers. We used the average figures for different N fertiliser types quoted by Eichner (1990) but, because of the effect of complex interactions of physical, chemical and biological variables on N<sub>2</sub>O production and emissions from soils, the accuracy of this approach is questionable. (Bouwman, 1990). Soil management and cropping regimes and variable rainfall may have a greater effect on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions than fertiliser type. Therefore, Bouwman (1996) recommended the use of one emission factor to cover all N fertiliser types. i.e. 1.25% of N applied (kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>). If this emissions factor is used the total N<sub>2</sub>O loss from conservation and tillage land increases from 5.36 kt y<sup>-1</sup> to 23.90 kt y<sup>-1</sup> and the annual N<sub>2</sub>O loss for UK farmed livestock increases from 21.09 kt to 39.63 kt.

The N<sub>2</sub>O losses from grazing cattle (excretal returns) were taken from Yalmulki *et al.* (1998) who found that up to 1% of the N excreted in urine and 0.53% excreted in the

dung were emitted as N<sub>2</sub>O, respectively. A recent review by Oenema *et al.* (1997) suggests that, on average, 2% of excreted N is emitted as N<sub>2</sub>O. Using this value in our inventory increases the total loss for grazing cattle from 3.1 kt to 7.0 kt. This term also includes the influence of soil compaction on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. Using the same value for the fractional loss, i.e. 2%, the N content of the excreta and the excretal rate, the N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from outdoor pigs, initially estimated from the fractional loss following slurry applications to land, are increased from 0.03 kt to 0.20 kt. The N<sub>2</sub>O emission estimated from grazing sheep is also increased from 0.29 kt to 1.94 kt per year using this EF of Oenema *et al.* (1997).

Our inventory was constructed in a relatively simple format with no direct consideration of the effect of the time of year, animal diet or soil type on emission factors, although we recognise that such factors are important e.g. Velthof and Oenema (1995). We used values which were based on the means of measurements taken from animal buildings, manure stores and following manure applications to the land throughout the year and which covered a range of typical animal diets, soil types and slurry application techniques. Because of the approach we estimate the error associated with the total N<sub>2</sub>O emissions to be  $\pm 50\%$ .

#### **CH<sub>4</sub>**

The CH<sub>4</sub> inventory was dominated by emissions from enteric fermentation in cattle. Our value for this EF, 270 g lu<sup>-1</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>, for dairy (milking) cattle is based on the arithmetic mean of both long-term measurements (5 weeks) and many short-term (24 hours) measurement periods at commercial farms in the UK. It is evident from the literature that within any one class of cattle, differences in measured CH<sub>4</sub> emission rates are evident. This may be due to differences in measurement methods but it is known that diet effects enteric CH<sub>4</sub> production and also there appears to be an animal to animal variation in CH<sub>4</sub> emission rate, so measurements on large groups of animals are more desirable than measurements on single animals. Our total of 845.0 kt is somewhat lower than that of earlier authors. Moss (1993) considered only enteric fermentation in her inventory, 1,420 kt, with no allowance for CH<sub>4</sub> from slurries or manures. Moreover she took only literature values for the production rates of CH<sub>4</sub> by different livestock classes (from Crutzen *et al.*, 1996) rather than making direct measurements.

#### **Abatement practices**

Potential abatement practices to reduce N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from housing and storage facilities could involve moves from straw based cattle systems to slurry based systems. The anaerobic nature of slurry stores would reduce N<sub>2</sub>O emission considerably, but possibly, at the expense of increased CH<sub>4</sub> emissions unless preventative actions were taken. A further 'upstream' management strategy would be to reduce N excretion by feeding animals diets more closely related to their nutritional requirements. This hypothesis was proved by Hobbs *et al.* (1996). Spreading the resulting slurry from pigs fed a modified diet onto grassland resulted in significantly lower emissions of NH<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> and denitrification losses and better utilisation of slurry NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N compared with an application of slurry from conventionally fed pigs

(Misselbrook *et al.*, 1998). Methane emissions from the rumens of cattle can also be influenced by diet. Recent work at IGER has demonstrated significantly lower CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from beef cattle fed silage maize than the same cattle fed grass silage or hay based diets (unpublished data).

Restrictions on time and rate of application offer the potential for further reducing N<sub>2</sub>O emissions following fertiliser-N and manure applications (Chadwick, 1997). Soil mineral-N levels should be kept at an optimum level for crop requirements and reduced to a minimum at times of low crop demand, e.g. in autumn and early spring when soil conditions are favourable for denitrification.

Reductions in N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from grazed pasture would arise from increasing the productivity per animal with a concurrent decrease in animal numbers, dietary control of N excretion and restricted grazing.

## 5. Conclusions

Our estimate of N<sub>2</sub>O emission for UK livestock production in 1996 is 21.1kt ± 50%. The largest terms are manure stores (5.65 kt), where 99% of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions arise from solid manure heaps, and soils fertilised with inorganic N fertilisers (5.36 kt). Our estimated emission from fertilised soils may be conservative. Also, more recent data suggest that losses of N<sub>2</sub>O from grazed pasture, particularly cattle, may have a large influence on the total emission. If we include estimated emission factors for grazed land (Oenema *et al.*, 1997) and the greater fractional loss value for fertilised soils, 1.25%, quoted by Bouwman (1996) we increase the total emission to approximately 45.2 kt a<sup>-1</sup>. Our estimated CH<sub>4</sub> emissions is 845.0 kt, 80% of which is from cattle and 15% from sheep. Over 90 % of all the CH<sub>4</sub> emitted in our inventory is from enteric fermentation.

## 6. Acknowledgements

This work was funded by the UK Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (MAFF). IGER and SRI are institutes sponsored by the Biological and Biotechnology Research Council (BBSRC).

## 7. References

**Armstrong Brown S., Rounsevell M.D.A., Annan J.D., Phillips V.R. and Audsley E.** (1996) Agricultural policy impacts on UK nitrous oxide emissions. Proceedings of the EC Workshop on 'Mineral emissions for agriculture', Oslo, Norway, 24-26 January. (Organised by the EU-AIR3 Concerted Action 'Policy measures to control environmental impact from agriculture').

**Blaxter K.L. and Clapperton J.L.** (1965) Prediction of the amount of methane

produced by ruminants. *British Journal of Nutrition* 19, 511-522.

**Bouwman A.F.** (1990) *Soils and the Greenhouse Effect*. John Wiley & Sons: Chichester.

**Bouwman A.F.** (1996) Direct emissions of nitrous oxide from agricultural soils. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems* 46, 53-70.

**Bremner J.M. and Blackmer S.M.** (1978) Nitrous oxide: emission from soils during nitrification of fertiliser nitrogen. *Science* 199, 295-296.

**Burnhill P., Chalmers A. and Fairgrieve J.** (1994) *The British Survey of Fertiliser Practice – Fertiliser use on farm crops, 1993*. HMSO.

**Chadwick D.R.** (1997) Nitrous oxide and ammonia emissions from grassland following applications of slurry: potential abatement practices. In; *Gaseous Nitrogen Emissions from Grasslands* (S. C. Jarvis and B.F. Pain, Eds.) CAB International, Wallingford, UK. pp. 257-264.

**Cicerone R. J.** (1987) Changes in stratospheric ozone. *Science* 237, 35-42.

**Crutzen P.J., Aselmann I. And Seiler W.** (1996) Methane production by domestic animals, wild animals and other herbivorous fauna and humans. *Tellus* 38B, 271-284.

**DANI** (1994). *Statistical Review of Northern Ireland Agriculture, 1993*. Department of Agriculture for Northern Ireland, Economics and Statistical Division.

**Eichner M.J.** (1990) Nitrous oxide emissions from fertilised soils: summary of available data. *Journal of Environmental Quality* 19, 272-280.

**Firestone M.K. and Davidson E.A.** (1989) Microbiological basis of NO and N<sub>2</sub>O production and consumption in soil. In, *Exchange of Trace Gases between Terrestrial Ecosystems and the Atmosphere* (M.O. Andreae and D.S. Schimel, eds.), pp. 7-21. John Wiley, New York.

**HMSO** (1994) *The Digest of Agricultural Census Statistics, United Kingdom, 1993*. HMSO, London.

**HMSO** (1996) *The Digest of Agricultural Census Statistics, United Kingdom, 1995*. HMSO, London.

**HMSO** (1997) *The Digest of Agricultural Census Statistics, United Kingdom, 1996*. HMSO, London.

**HMSO** (1997b) *Climate change: Second report*.

**IPCC** (Intergovernmental Panel on Climatic Change). (1997) Nitrous oxide and carbon dioxide in agriculture; OECD/IPCC/IEP phase II development of IPCC guidelines for national greenhouse gas inventory methodology. Workshop Report, 4-6 December, 1995, OECD, IPCC, IEA. Geneva (in press).

**Husted S.** (1993) An open chamber technique for determination of methane emission from stored livestock manure. *Atmospheric Environment* 27A, 1635-1642.

**Jarvis S.C. and Pain B.F.** (1994) Greenhouse gas emissions from intensive livestock systems: their estimation and technologies for reduction. *Climatic Change* 30, 1-12.

**Kinsman R., Sauer F.D., Jackson H.A. and Wolynetz M.S.** (1995) Methane and carbon dioxide emissions from dairy cows in full lactation monitored over a six-month period. *Journal of Dairy Science* 78, 2760-2766.

**Kroeze C.** (1995) Comparison of Inventory Methods for Estimating National Emissions of Nitrous Oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) Quarterly Journal of the Hungarian Meteorological Service, 99 (3-4), 209-225.

**Hobbs P.J., Pain B.F., Kay R.M. and Lee P.A.** (1996) Reduction of odorous compounds in fresh pig slurry by dietary control of crude protein. *Journal of Science, Food and Agriculture* 71, 508-514.

**Kirchmann H.** (1985) Losses, Plant Uptake and Utilisation of Manure Nitrogen during a Production Cycle. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica* 24, 77 pp.

**Maag M.**(1990) N<sub>2</sub>O production rates and denitrification rates on soil amended with pig slurry. *Mitteilungen der Deutschen Bodenkundlichen Gesellschaft* 60, 205-210.

**Misselbrook T.M., Chadwick D.R., Pain B.F. and Headon D.M.** (1998) Dietary manipulation as a means of decreasing N losses and methane emissions and improving herbage N uptake following application of pig slurry to grassland. *Journal of Agricultural Science* 130, 183-191.

**Mosier A.R.** (1989) Chamber and isotope techniques. In: Exchange of Trace Gases between Terrestrial Ecosystems and the Atmosphere (M.O. Andrea and D.S. Schimmel, eds.), Life Sciences Research report 47, John Wiley, Chichester, pp. 175-187.

**Moss A.** (1993) Methane: Global warming and production by animals. Chalcombe Publications, Canterbury, 105 pp.

**Nicholson, R.J. and Brewer, A.J.** (1997). Estimates of Volumes and Exposed Surface Areas of Stored Animal Manures and Slurries in England and Wales. *Journal of Agricultural Engineering Research.*, 66, 239-250.

**Oenema O., Velthof G.L., Yalmulki S. and Jarvis S.C.** (1997) Nitrous oxide

emissions from grazed grassland. *Soil use and Management* 13, 288-295.

**Pain B.F., van der Weerden T.J., Chambers B.J., Phillips V.R. and Jarvis S.C.** (1998) A new inventory for ammonia emissions from UK agriculture. *Atmospheric Environment* 32, 309-313.

**Paul J.W., Beauchamp E.G. and Zhang X.** (1993) Nitrous and nitric oxide emissions during nitrification and denitrification from manure-amended soil in the laboratory. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science* 73, 539-553.

**Safely L.M., Casada M.E., Woodbury J.W. and Roos K.F.** (1992) Global methane emissions from livestock and poultry manure. Report to the Global Change Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.

**Sibbesen E. and Lind A.M.** (1993) Loss of nitrous oxide from animal manure in dung heaps. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica* 43, 16-20.

**Sneath R.W.** (1996) Measuring losses of methane and nitrous oxide from livestock buildings. Paper presented at the Society of Chemical Industry's Symposium 'Methane and nitrous oxide emissions - agriculture's 'contribution', London, 16 January 1996.

**Sneath R.W., Phillips V.R., Demmers T.G.M., Burgess L.R., Short J.L. and Welch S.K.** (1997) Long-term measurements of greenhouse gas emissions from UK livestock buildings. In: 'Livestock Environment V', ASAE Publication 01-97, Vol. 1, pp 146-153.

**Sommer S.G., Sherlock R.R. and Khan R.Z.** (1996) Nitrous oxide and methane emissions from pig slurry amended soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 28, 1541-1544.

**Velthof G.L. and Oenema O.** (1995) Nitrous oxide fluxes from grassland in the Netherlands: II. Effects of soil type, nitrogen fertiliser application and grazing. *European Journal of Soil Science* 46, 541-549.

**Yalmulki S., Jarvis S.C. and Owen P.** (1998) Nitrous oxide emissions from excreta applied in a simulated grazing pattern. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* (in press).